

# A global, dynamical formulation of quantum confined systems

Nuno Costa Dias\*

João Nuno Prata†

*Departamento de Matemática*

*Universidade Lusófona de Humanidades e Tecnologias*

*Av. Campo Grande, 376, 1749-024 Lisboa, Portugal*

*and*

*Grupo de Física Matemática Universidade de Lisboa*

*Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003, Lisboa, Portugal*

*AMS subclass[2000]:* Primary 47B25, 47D06; Secondary 81Q10, 34L40.

*Keywords:* Self-Adjoint Extensions, Boundary Interactions, Dynamical Confinement.

## Abstract

A brief review of some recent results on the global self-adjoint formulation of systems with boundaries is presented. We specialize to the 1-dimensional case and obtain a dynamical formulation of quantum confinement.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $H_0 : \mathcal{D}(H_0) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a self adjoint (s.a.) Hamiltonian operator defined on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(H_0)$  and describing the dynamics of a  $d$ -dimensional quantum system. Let us also consider the decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^d =$

---

\*ncdias@meo.pt

†joao.prata@mail.telepac.pt

$\Omega \cup \Omega^c$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open set and  $\Gamma = \overline{\Omega} \cap \Omega^c$  is the common boundary of the two open sets  $\Omega_1 = \Omega$  and  $\Omega_2 = \overline{\Omega}^c$ .

To obtain a confined version (for instance, to  $\Omega_1$ ) of the system described by  $H_0$ , the standard approach is to determine the s.a. realizations of the operator  $H_0$  in  $L^2(\Omega_1)$ . It is well known, however, that this formulation displays several inconsistencies [1, 2, 3], the main issues being the ambiguities besetting the physical predictions (when there are several possible self-adjoint realizations of  $H_0$  in  $L^2(\Omega_1)$ ), the lack of self-adjoint (s.a.) formulations of some important observables in  $L^2(\Omega_1)$  and the difficulties in translating this approach to other (non-local) formulations of quantum mechanics, like the deformation formulation [4]. These problems are well illustrated by textbook examples [1, 4, 5].

Our aim here is to present an alternative approach to quantum confinement. This formulation consists in determining all s.a. Hamiltonian operators  $H : \mathcal{D}(H) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  - defined on a dense subspace  $\mathcal{D}(H)$  of the global Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  - which dynamically confine the system to  $\Omega_1$  (or  $\Omega_2$ ) while reproducing the action of  $H_0$  in an appropriate subdomain. More precisely, let  $P_{\Omega_k}$  be the projector operator onto  $\Omega_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , i.e.

$$P_{\Omega_k} \psi = \chi_{\Omega_k} \psi \quad , \quad \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi_{\Omega_k}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega_k$ :  $\chi_{\Omega_k}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \Omega_k$  and  $\chi_{\Omega_k}(x) = 0$ , otherwise. Our aim is to determine all linear operators  $H : \mathcal{D}(H) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  that satisfy the following three properties:

- (i)  $H$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- (ii) If  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$  then  $P_{\Omega_k} \psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$  and  $[P_{\Omega_k}, H]\psi = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ .
- (iii)  $H\psi = H_0\psi$  if  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$  is an eigenstate of  $P_{\Omega_k}$ .

Moreover, for the 1-dimensional case, we want to recast the operators  $H$  in the form  $H = H_0 + B^{BC}$ , where  $B^{BC}$  is a distributional boundary potential (that may depend on the particular boundary conditions satisfied by the domain of  $H$ ) and  $H$  is s.a. on its maximal domain. This formulation is global, because the system is defined in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and the confinement is dynamical, i.e. it is a consequence of the initial state and of the Hamiltonian  $H$ . Indeed, from (i) and (ii) it follows that  $P_{\Omega_k}$  commutes with all the spectral projectors of  $H$  and so also with the operator  $\exp\{iHt\}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, if  $\psi$  is an eigenstate of  $P_{\Omega_k}$  it will evolve to  $\exp\{iHt\}\psi$ , which is again an eigenstate of  $P_{\Omega_k}$  with the same eigenvalue. In other words,  $P_{\Omega_k}$  is a constant of motion and a wave function confined to  $\Omega_1$  (or to  $\Omega_2$ ) will stay so forever.

The problem of determining a dynamical formulation of quantum confinement can be addressed from the point of view of the study of s.a. extensions of symmetric restrictions [1, 6, 7, 8] and is closely related with the subjects of point interaction Hamiltonians [7, 9, 10, 11] and surface interactions [12]. Our results may be useful in this last context as well as for the deformation quantization of systems with boundaries [4].

In this paper we shall provide a concise review of the solutions to the above problems. The reader should refer to [13, 14] for a detail presentation, including proofs of the main theorems, the extension of the boundary potential formulation to higher dimensions and some applications to particular systems.

## 2 Confining Hamiltonians defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

We start by introducing some relevant notation. Let  $X, Y \subset V$  be two subspaces of a vector space  $V$  such that  $X \cap Y = \{0\}$ , then their direct sum is denoted by  $X \oplus Y$ . Let now  $A, B$  be two linear operators with domains  $\mathcal{D}(A), \mathcal{D}(B) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathcal{D}(B) = \{0\}$ , then the operator  $A \oplus B$  is defined by:

$$A \oplus B : \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(A \oplus B) = \mathcal{D}(A) \oplus \mathcal{D}(B) \\ = \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(A), \psi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(B)\} \\ (A \oplus B) \psi = A\psi_1 + B\psi_2, \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(A \oplus B) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

For simplicity let us assume that  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_k) \subset L^2(\Omega_k) \cap \mathcal{D}(H_0)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  (where  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_k)$  is the space of infinitely smooth functions  $t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with support on a compact subset of  $\Omega_k$ ) and let us define the operators:

$$H_k^S : \mathcal{D}(\Omega_k) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega_k), \phi \longrightarrow H_k^S \phi = H_0 \phi \quad , \quad k = 1, 2 \quad (3)$$

which are symmetric. Let also  $H_k^{S^\dagger}$  be the adjoint of  $H_k^S$ .

Our main result characterizes the operators  $H : \mathcal{D}(H) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , associated to a s.a.  $H_0$ , and satisfying properties (i) to (iii).

### Theorem 1

Let  $H_0$  be s.a. on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and such that  $\mathcal{D}(H_0) \supset \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1) \cup \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$  and

$[H_0, P_{\Omega_k}]\psi = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1) \cup \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$ . An operator  $H$  satisfies the defining properties (i) to (iii) iff it can be written in the form  $H_1 \oplus H_2$  for some  $H_1, H_2$  s.a. extensions of the restrictions (3). Moreover, all operators  $H$  are s.a. extensions of  $H_1^S \oplus H_2^S$  and s.a. restrictions of  $H_1^{S^\dagger} \oplus H_2^{S^\dagger}$ .

The condition (stated in the theorem) that  $[H_0, P_{\Omega_k}]\psi = 0$ ,  $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1) \cup \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$ , and the assumption that  $H_1^S$  and  $H_2^S$  have s.a. extensions are the minimal requirements for the existence of operators  $H$  satisfying (i) to (iii). Proofs of these results are given in [13, 14].

We now focus on the case where  $d = 1$ ,  $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{R}^-$  and

$$H_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x), \quad \mathcal{D}(H_0) = \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \psi, \psi' \in AC(\mathbb{R}); H_0\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\} \quad (4)$$

where  $AC(\mathbb{R})$  is the set of absolutely continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $V(x)$  is a regular potential. We shall assume it to be i) real, ii) locally integrable and satisfying iii)  $V(x) > -kx^2$ ,  $k > 0$  for sufficiently large  $|x|$ . The conditions on  $V(x)$  are such that  $H_0 : \mathcal{D}(H_0) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is the unique s.a. realization of the differential expression  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  [15] and ensure that all s.a. realizations of  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$  on the semi-axes  $]-\infty, 0]$  and  $[0, +\infty[$  are determined by boundary conditions at  $x = 0$  only.

For  $H_0$  of the kind (4) the s.a. operators  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  are all of the form [13, 15]:

$$H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} = H_1^{\lambda_1} \oplus H_2^{\lambda_2} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) = \mathcal{D}(H_1^{\lambda_1}) \oplus \mathcal{D}(H_2^{\lambda_2}) \\ H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}\psi = H^{S^\dagger}\psi \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(H_k^{\lambda_k}) = \{\psi_k = \chi_{\Omega_k}\phi_k : \phi_k \in \mathcal{D}(H_0) \wedge \phi_k'(0) = \lambda_k\phi_k(0)\} \quad (6)$$

$\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  and the case  $\lambda_k = \infty$  corresponds to Dirichlet boundary conditions. Moreover

$$H^{S^\dagger} = H_1^{S^\dagger} \oplus H_2^{S^\dagger} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(H^{S^\dagger}) = \{\psi = \chi_{\Omega_1}\phi_1 + \chi_{\Omega_2}\phi_2 : \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)\} \\ H^{S^\dagger}\psi = \chi_{\Omega_1}H_0\phi_1 + \chi_{\Omega_2}H_0\phi_2 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Hence, all s.a. confining Hamiltonians of the form  $H_1 \oplus H_2$  are s.a. restrictions of  $H^{S^\dagger}$ . To proceed let us define the operators ( $k = 1, 2$  and  $n = 0, 1$ ):

$$\hat{\delta}_k^{(n)}(x) : \mathcal{D}(H^{S^\dagger}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}); \psi = \chi_{\Omega_1} \phi_1 + \chi_{\Omega_2} \phi_2 \longrightarrow \hat{\delta}_k^{(n)}(x) \psi = \delta^{(n)}(x) \phi_k(x) \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is the space of Schwartz distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta^{(0)}(x) = \delta(x)$  and  $\delta^{(1)}(x) = \delta'(x)$  are the Dirac measure and its first distributional derivative. We can now recast the operators (5) in the additive form  $H = H_0 + B^{BC}$ :

### Theorem 2

The s.a. Hamiltonian  $H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  given by eq.(5) act as:

$$H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \psi = \{H_0 - B_1^{\lambda_1} + B_2^{\lambda_2}\} \psi, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) \quad (9)$$

where now  $H_0$  is the extension to the set of distributions of the original Hamiltonian given in (4),  $H_0 : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$B_k^\lambda \equiv \begin{cases} -\hat{\delta}_k'(x) + (-1)^k \hat{\delta}_k(x), & \lambda = \infty \\ \hat{\delta}_k'(x) + 2\lambda \hat{\delta}_k(x) + (-1)^k \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \hat{\delta}_k(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \lambda \right) \right], & \lambda \neq \infty \end{cases} \quad k = 1, 2 \quad (10)$$

Moreover, the maximal domain of the expression (9) coincides with  $\mathcal{D}(H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2})$  (5), i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}_{max}(H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) \equiv \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\} = \mathcal{D}(H^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}). \quad (11)$$

The proof is given in [13].

## Acknowledgments

We thank A. Posilicano and P. Garbaczewski for several discussions. This work was partially supported by the grants POCTI/0208/2003 and PTDC/MAT/69635/2006 of the Portuguese Science Foundation.

## References

- [1] Garbaczewski, P and Karwowski, W. Impenetrable barriers and canonical quantization. *Am. J. Phys.*, 2004, **72**, 924.

- [2] Isham, C. Topological and global aspects of quantum theory, In *Les Houches, Session XL*, (DeWitt, B.S. and Stora, R., eds). Elsevier, 1984.
- [3] Bonneau, G., Faraut, J. and Valent, G. Self-adjoint extensions of operators and the teaching of quantum mechanics. *Am. J. Phys.*, 2001, **69**, 53.
- [4] Dias, N. C. and Prata, J. N. Wigner functions with boundaries. *J. Math. Phys.*, 2002, **43**, 4602.
- [5] Akhiezer, N. and Glazman, I. *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space*. Pitman, Boston, 1981.
- [6] Posilicano, A. Self-Adjoint Extensions of Restrictions. *Operators and Matrices*, 2008, **2**, 483.
- [7] Albeverio, S., Gesztesy, F., Högh-Krohn, R. and Holden, H. *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed. AMS, Chelsea, 2005.
- [8] Posilicano, P. A Krein-like Formula for Singular Perturbations of Self-Adjoint Operators and Applications. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2001, **183**, 109.
- [9] Berezin, F. and Fadeev, L. Remark on the Schrödinger equation with singular potential. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 1961, **137**, 1011.
- [10] Blanchard, Ph., Figari, R. and Mantile, A. Point Interaction Hamiltonians in Bounded Domains. Physics Archives: 0704.3249, 2007.
- [11] Posilicano, A. The Schrödinger Equation With a Moving Point Interaction In Three Dimensions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2007, **135**, 1785.
- [12] Kanwal, R. P. *Generalized Functions: Theory and Technique*, 2nd ed. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [13] Dias, N. C., Posilicano, A. and Prata, J. N. Self-adjoint, globally defined Hamiltonian operators for systems with boundaries. Physics Archives: math-ph/0707.0948, 2007.
- [14] Dias, N. C., Posilicano, A. and Prata, J. N. In preparation.

- [15] Voronov, B., Gitman, D. and Tyutin, I. Self-adjoint differential operators associated with self-adjoint differential expressions. Physics Archives: quant-ph/0603187, 2006.